Modern agriculture methods damage the environment, but providing food for the growing population around the world is more important than protecting the environment.

Modern agriculture methods like pesticides and artificial fertilizers are widely used around the world. Although the methods increase the yield, they also bring negative effects. The most obvious and detrimental impact is the environment degradation. Many people argue that the environmental risks should not be taken into consideration since many people are suffering ~~the~~ from starvation. I disagree with this.

First, despite the fact that there are people who are suffering from starvation, the reason is not lack~~ing~~ of food. From a global view, we have enough food to feed people so they can avoid ~~get rid of~~ starvation. The root cause of starvation is bad management, worldwide corruption and racial indifference ~~of race~~. ~~Because of~~ ~~t~~Those issues result in~~,~~ tons of ~~grains and corns are~~ waste every year. If the governments and the general public want to help the people who suffering, they should try to solve those problems instead of sacrificing the environment and dreaming that more food will save the people.

Second, the statement asserts the providing food for a growing number of people is more urgent than the protection of environment. I think the statement is totally unconvincing. The ~~E~~environment is the most critical factor of human~~'s~~ survival. If one day we totally destroy~~ed~~ the environment which we rely on, the ~~number of people~~ population will in turn drop dramatically, even ~~face~~ to extinction levels. Moreover, the ~~number of human~~ population is already reaching the maximum level our earth can support ~~undertake~~. The ~~G~~growing population is out of ~~our~~ ~~expectation~~ control, in some areas people are facing population problems~~,~~. ~~t~~They should take reproduction control more seriously ~~the reproduction~~. Only ~~in case~~ if we control the p~~a~~opulation ~~in~~ at a reasonable level can we have a better future~~, the future of human can be prospective~~.

Moreover, agricultural development ~~of the agriculture~~ does not necessarily mean the degradation of the environment. Besides using pesticides and artificial fertilizers, ~~some~~ there are more environment-friendly methods ~~are~~ invented recently. For example, organic fertilizers, scientific mixture of different crops, scientific irrigation, etc. If people consider the environment more ~~the environment~~, not only focusing on economic goals, I think ~~a mass of~~ alternative ways that do not damage the environment could be chosen.

In conclusion, ~~based on the reasons we explored above.~~, I think it's safe to say that protecting the environment should never be neglected~~,~~. ~~p~~Providing more food for the growing p~~a~~opulation ~~c~~should never be an excuse for our ~~mistakes of~~ destruction of nature. Modern agriculture methods should be smarter to meet the demands of each aspect.

**Rating [25]**
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| **CRITERIA & DESCRIPTION** | **RATING** |
| > Addresses the topic and task well, though some points may not be fully elaborated | 28 |
| > Displays unity, progression and coherence, though connection of ideas may be occasionally obscured | 23 |
| > May demonstrate inconsistent facility in sentence formation and word choice that may result in lack of clarity and occasionally obscure meaning | 23 |
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**Suggestions/Comments:**

**Structure (paragraphs):**

* Coherence. A sentence should contain no more than two clauses: independent and dependent. If there are more than that, the sentence is too long.
* Keep paragraphs and sentences simple. Avoid using clauses as much as possible. A very long sentence is difficult to understand.

**Structure (sentence):**

* Article usage. “The” is used before singular or definite pronouns. “a” is used before a singular or indefinite pronouns that start with consonants. Use “an” if it starts with a vowel.
* Spelling. Check and double-check for spelling errors. One or two mistakes are acceptable. More than that signifies carelessness or lack of knowledge.
* Try to avoid directly translating from Chinese to English. Chinese has a very different word structure and grammar so translating every single word literally will result in an unintelligible sentence.
* Use a variety opening statements rather than using the same phrase in every single essay you write. This shows a lack of vocabulary.
* Be mindful of the tenses used. Be consistent.
* Avoid repeating the same word more than twice in the same paragraph. Use pronouns and synonyms for variety.

**Argument:**

* The very last paragraph in your essay should be the conclusion. The first sentence summarizes all the evidence provided in the previous paragraphs and concludes with your final opinion in the last sentence.
* The ideas presented in the concluding paragraph has to agree with the opinion presented in the first. The only difference between the first and the last paragraph is the summary of arguments presented.

**Revision**

The changes you find here are only grammatical and mechanical. Any change in content is for you to incorporate.

By Leo ☺

Modern agriculture methods like pesticides and artificial fertilizers are widely used around the world. Although the methods increase the yield, they also bring negative effects. The most obvious and detrimental impact is the environment degradation. Many people argue that the environmental risks should not be taken into consideration since many people are suffering from starvation. I disagree with this.

First, despite the fact that there are people who are suffering from starvation, the reason is not lack of food. From a global view, we have enough food to feed people so they can avoid starvation. The root cause of starvation is bad management, worldwide corruption and racial indifference. Those issues result in tons of wastes every year. If the governments and the general public want to help the people who suffering, they should try to solve those problems instead of sacrificing the environment and dreaming that more food will save the people.

Second, the statement asserts the providing food for a growing number of people is more urgent than the protection of environment. I think the statement is totally unconvincing. The environment is the most critical factor of human survival. If one day we totally destroy the environment which we rely on, the population will in turn drop dramatically, even to extinction levels. Moreover, the population is already reaching the maximum level our earth can support. Only if we control the population at a reasonable level can we have a better future.

Moreover, agricultural development does not necessarily mean the degradation of the environment. Besides using pesticides and artificial fertilizers, there are more environment-friendly methods invented recently. For example, organic fertilizers, scientific mixture of different crops, scientific irrigation, etc. If people do not only focusing on economic goals, I think alternative ways that does not damage the environment could be chosen.

In conclusion, I think it's safe to say that protecting the environment should never be neglected. Providing more food for the growing population should never be an excuse for our destruction of nature. Modern agriculture methods should be smarter to meet the demands of each aspect.